

1

ITEM NO.7

COURT NO.9

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E            C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NO. 526 OF 2013 IN Civil Appeal 7516/2005

VIRENDRA KUMAR &amp; ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SUDHA SHARMA &amp; ORS

Respondent(s)

(with appln(s) for directions, intervention)

Date: 09/05/2014            This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. A.K. Behera, Adv.  
Mr. Bhagwan Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Indira Jaising, ASG  
Col. R. Balasubramanian, Adv.  
Ms. Ritu Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Ms. Sonakshi Malhan, Adv.  
Mrs Anil Katiyar, Adv.  
Mr. Shashank Bajpai, Adv.

Ms. Binu Tamta ,Adv

Mr. P.S. Patwalia, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shailendra Kishore, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Learned counsel for respondent nos. 1 and 2 has invited this Court's attention to paragraph 2(xv) of the counter affidavit, which depicts that recommendations have been received from a Committee constituted for implementing the judgment rendered by this Court on 27.11.2012, and that based on the above recommendations, a draft tentative seniority list has been prepared and circulated.            It is

further submitted that objections to the above tentative seniority list has since been received from the concerned employees, and that the same would be taken into consideration in preparing the final seniority list in consonance with the judgment dated 27.11.2012. To finalise the seniority list, learned counsel for respondent nos. 1 and 2 seeks four weeks' time.

In view of the explanation tendered by the learned counsel representing respondent nos. 1 and 2, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to take into consideration the objections filed by the employees concerned, so as to implement the order passed by this Court on 27.11.2012. Needless to mention, that respondent nos. 1 and 2 shall be personally responsible, for ensuring compliance of the undertaking given to this Court, within the time specified.

Contempt petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Mr. P.S. Patwalia, learned senior counsel submits that the application for intervention filed by his clients may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to avail other remedies, in accordance with law. We order accordingly.

(Parveen Kr.Chawla)  
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)  
Assistant Registrar